

# On the existence of periodic solutions to $p$ -Laplacian Rayleigh differential equation with a delay<sup>☆</sup>

Shiping Lu<sup>a,\*</sup>, Zhanjie Gui<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Department of Mathematics, Anhui Normal University, Wuhu 241000, Anhui, PR China*

<sup>b</sup> *Department of Mathematics, Hainan Normal University, Haikou 571158, PR China*

Received 2 December 2005

Available online 13 March 2006

Submitted by Steven G. Krantz

---

## Abstract

In this paper, the authors study the existence of periodic solutions to a  $p$ -Laplacian Rayleigh differential equation with a delay as follows:

$$(\varphi_p(y'(t)))' + f(y'(t)) + g(y(t - \tau(t))) = e(t),$$

where  $p > 1$  is a constant,  $\varphi_p: R \rightarrow R$ ,  $\varphi_p(u) = |u|^{p-2}u$ ,  $f, g, e, \tau \in C(R, R)$ ,  $\tau(t + T) \equiv \tau(t)$  with  $\tau(t) \geq 0$ ,  $\forall t \in [0, T]$ , and  $e(t + T) \equiv e(t)$ ,  $T > 0$  is a constant. By using Mawhin's continuation theorem, some new results are obtained.

© 2006 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

**Keywords:**  $p$ -Laplacian operator; Continuation theorem; Rayleigh differential equation

---

## 1. Introduction

The existence of periodic solutions to Rayleigh differential equation with a deviating argument as follows:

$$x''(t) + f(x'(t)) + g(x(t - \tau(t))) = e(t) \quad (1.1)$$

---

<sup>☆</sup> Sponsored by the National Natural Science Foundation (10371006) and the Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Province of China (2005kj031ZD; 050460103).

\* Corresponding author.

*E-mail address:* [lushiping88@sohu.com](mailto:lushiping88@sohu.com) (S. Lu).

was studied in [1–3]. The growth conditions imposed on  $f(x)$  are such as

$$|f(x)| \leq K, \quad \forall x \in R, \quad (1.2)$$

in [1],

$$xf(x) \geq \sigma|x|^{n+1} \quad \text{or} \quad xf(x) \leq -\sigma|x|^{n+1}, \quad \forall x \in R, \quad (1.3)$$

in [2] and

$$-K \leq f(x) \leq r_1|x| + K, \quad \forall x \in R, \quad (1.4)$$

in [3], where  $K, n, \sigma > 0$ ,  $r_1 \geq 0$  are constants. Meanwhile, the growth condition imposed on  $g(x)$  is such as  $|g(x)| \geq M$  for  $x \leq -D$  in [1], which is named one-sided bounded type, and also the global linear growth condition

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{g(x)}{x} = r \in [0, +\infty) \quad (1.5)$$

is required in [3]. In recent years, by using Mawhin's continuation theorem, Cheung and Ren [4] studied the existence of  $T$ -periodic solutions to  $p$ -Laplacian Liénard equation with a deviating argument as follows:

$$(\varphi_p(x'(t)))' + f(x(t))x'(t) + g(x(t - \tau(t))) = e(t).$$

But the corresponding problem to  $p$ -Laplacian Rayleigh equation with a delay, as far as we know, was studied rarely. In this paper, we continue to study the existence of periodic solutions to a class of  $p$ -Laplacian Rayleigh differential equation with a delay as follows:

$$(\varphi_p(y'(t)))' + f(y'(t)) + g(y(t - \tau(t))) = e(t), \quad (1.6)$$

where  $p > 1$  is a constant,  $\varphi_p : R \rightarrow R$ ,  $\varphi_p(u) = |u|^{p-2}u$ ,  $f, g, e, \tau \in C(R, R)$ ,  $\tau(t + T) \equiv \tau(t)$  with  $\tau(t) \geq 0$ ,  $\forall t \in [0, T]$ , and  $e(t + T) \equiv e(t)$  with  $\int_0^T e(s) ds = 0$ ,  $T > 0$  is a constant. By using Mawhin's continuation theorem, some new results are obtained. Clearly, Eq. (1.1) is the special case of Eq. (1.6) for  $p = 2$ . The significance of this paper is that even if for  $p = 2$ , the conditions imposed on  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  are different from the corresponding ones of [1–3]. For example, the conditions imposed on  $g(x)$  and  $f(x)$  are such as

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{|g(x)|}{|x|^{p-1}} \leq r$$

and

$$|f(x)| \leq \alpha|x|^{p-1} + \beta, \quad \forall x \in R \text{ with } |x| > D,$$

where  $r \geq 0$ ,  $D > 0$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $\beta > 0$  are constants. Also, we investigate the relation between the existence of periodic solutions and the delay  $\tau(t)$ . Furthermore, the methods to obtain a priori bounds of periodic solutions in [4] cannot be applied to present paper, since the crucial step  $\int_0^T f(x(t))x'(t) dt = 0$  is no longer valid for Eq. (1.6).

## 2. Main lemmas

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be real Banach spaces and  $L : D(L) \subset X \rightarrow Y$  be a Fredholm operator with index zero. This means  $X = \text{Ker } L \oplus X_1$  and  $Y = \text{Im } L \oplus Y_1$ . Furthermore, let  $P : X \rightarrow \text{Ker } L$  and  $Q : Y \rightarrow Y_1$  be the continuous projectors. Clearly,  $\text{Ker } L \cap (D(L) \cap X_1) = \{0\}$ , thus the restriction  $L_P := L|_{D(L) \cap X_1}$  is invertible. Denote by  $K$  the inverse of  $L_P$ .

Now, let  $\Omega$  be an open bounded subset of  $X$  with  $D(L) \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$ . A map  $N : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow Y$  is said to be  $L$ -compact in  $\overline{\Omega}$ , if  $QN(\overline{\Omega})$  is bounded and the operator  $K(I - Q)N : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow X$  is compact. Now, we recall this theorem in the first.

**Lemma 2.1.** [5] *Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are two Banach spaces, and  $L : D(L) \subset X \rightarrow Y$  is a Fredholm operator with index zero. Furthermore,  $\Omega \subset X$  is an open bounded set and  $N : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow Y$  is  $L$ -compact on  $\overline{\Omega}$ . If all the following conditions hold:*

- (1)  $Lx \neq \lambda Nx, \forall x \in \partial\Omega \cap D(L), \lambda \in (0, 1)$ ;
- (2)  $Nx \notin \text{Im } L, \forall x \in \partial\Omega \cap \text{Ker } L$ ;
- (3)  $\deg\{JQN, \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, 0\} \neq 0$ ,

where  $J : \text{Im } Q \rightarrow \text{Ker } L$  is an isomorphism. Then equation  $Lx = Nx$  has a solution on  $\overline{\Omega} \cap D(L)$ .

In order to use Mawhin's continuation theorem to study the existence of  $T$ -periodic solutions for Eq. (1.6), we should rewrite Eq. (1.6) in the following form:

$$\begin{cases} x'_1(t) = \varphi_q(x_2(t)) = |x_2(t)|^{q-2}x_2(t), \\ x'_2(t) = -f(\varphi_q(x_2(t))) - g(x_1(t - \tau(t))) + e(t), \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where  $q > 1$  is a constant with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . Clearly, if  $x(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t))^T$  is a  $T$ -periodic solution to Eqs. (2.1), then  $x_1(t)$  must be a  $T$ -periodic solution to Eq. (1.6). Thus, in order to prove that Eq. (1.6) has a  $T$ -periodic solution, it suffices to show that Eqs. (2.1) has a  $T$ -periodic solution. Now, we set  $C_T = \{\phi : \phi \in C(R, R), \phi(t + T) \equiv \phi(t)\}$  with the norm  $\|\phi\|_0 = \max_{t \in [0, T]} |\phi(t)|$ .  $X = Y = \{x = (x_1(t), x_2(t)) \in C(R, R^2) : x(t + T) \equiv x(t)\}$  with the norm  $\|x\| = \max\{|x_1|_0, |x_2|_0\}$ . Clearly,  $X$  and  $Y$  are two Banach spaces. Meanwhile, let

$$L : D(L) \subset X \rightarrow Y, \quad Lx = x' = \begin{pmatrix} x'_1 \\ x'_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$N : X \rightarrow Y, \quad Nx = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_q(x_2) \\ -f(\varphi_q(x_2(t))) - g(x_1(t - \tau(t))) + e(t) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.3)$$

It is easy to see that  $\text{Ker } L = R^2$ ,  $\text{Im } L = \{x : x \in Y, \int_0^T x(s) ds = 0\}$ . So  $L$  is a Fredholm operator with index zero. Also let projectors  $P : X \rightarrow \text{Ker } L$  and  $Q : Y \rightarrow \text{Im } Q$  defined by

$$Px = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T x(s) ds; \quad Qy = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T y(s) ds,$$

and let  $K$  to represent the inverse of  $L|_{\text{Ker } P \cap D(L)}$ . Obviously,  $\text{Ker } L = \text{Im } Q = R^2$ .

$$[Ky]^{-1}(t) = \int_0^T k(t, s)y(s) ds, \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$k(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{s}{T}, & 0 \leq s < t \leq T, \\ \frac{s-T}{T}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq T. \end{cases}$$

From (2.4), one can easily see that  $N$  is  $L$ -compact on  $\overline{\Omega}$ , where  $\Omega$  is an open, bounded subset of  $X$ .

The following lemma is important for us to estimate a priori bounds of periodic solutions in Theorem 3.2.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $s \in C(R, R)$  with  $s(t+T) \equiv s(t)$  and  $s(t) \in [0, T]$ ,  $\forall t \in R$ . Suppose  $\gamma = \max_{t \in [0, T]} s(t)$  and  $u \in C^1(R, R)$  with  $u(t+T) \equiv u(t)$ . Then*

$$\int_0^T |u(t) - u(t - s(t))|^p dt \leq \gamma^2 \int_0^T |u'(t)|^2 dt.$$

Lemma 2.2 is a direct consequence of the result obtained by Lu and Ge in [6].

For the sake of convince, we list the following assumptions which will be used for us to study the existence of  $T$ -periodic solutions to Eq. (1.6) in Section 3:

- [H<sub>1</sub>] There is a constant  $D > 0$  such that  $g(x) < -|e|_0 - |f(0)|$  for  $x > D$ , and  $g(x) > |e|_0 + |f(0)|$  for  $x < -D$ .
- [H<sub>2</sub>]  $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{|g(x)|}{|x|^{p-1}} \leq r \in [0, +\infty)$ .
- [H<sub>3</sub>] There are constants  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $\beta > 0$  such that  $|f(y)| < \alpha|y|^{p-1} + \beta$ , for  $|y| > D$ , where  $D$  is a constant defined by assumption [H<sub>1</sub>].
- [H<sub>4</sub>] Suppose  $g \in C^1(R, R)$  and there is a constant  $l > 0$  such that  $-l \leq g'(x) \leq 0$ ,  $\forall x \in R$ .

### 3. Main results

**Theorem 3.1.** *Suppose assumptions [H<sub>1</sub>]–[H<sub>3</sub>] hold and  $e(t)$  is not a constant. Furthermore,  $\delta := \max_{t \in [0, T]} \tau(t) \in [0, T]$ . Then Eq. (1.6) has at least one non-constant  $T$ -periodic solutions, if*

$$(2r + \alpha)\delta^{(p-1)/p}T^{1/p} + \alpha T < 1.$$

**Proof.** Considering the following operator equation:

$$Lx = \lambda Nx, \quad \lambda \in (0, 1), \quad (3.1)$$

where  $L$  and  $N$  are defined by (2.2) and (2.3), respectively. Let  $\Omega_1 = \{x: Lx = \lambda Nx, \lambda \in (0, 1)\}$ . If  $x(t) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1(t) \\ x_2(t) \end{pmatrix} \in \Omega_1$ , then

$$\begin{cases} x_1'(t) = \lambda \varphi_q(x_2(t)) = \lambda |x_2(t)|^{q-2} x_2(t), \\ x_2'(t) = -\lambda f(\varphi_q(x_2(t))) - \lambda g(x_1(t - \tau(t))) + \lambda e(t). \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

Let  $t_0$  be the maximum point of  $x_1(t)$  on  $R$ , i.e.,  $x_1(t_0) = \max_{t \in R} x_1(t) = \max_{t \in [0, T]} x_1(t)$ . Then  $x_1'(t_0) = 0$ , which together with the first equation of (3.2) leads to  $x_2(t_0) = \varphi_p(\frac{1}{\lambda} x_1(t_0)) = 0$ ,  $\forall \lambda \in (0, 1)$ . Furthermore, we can conclude

$$x_2'(t_0) \leq 0. \quad (3.3)$$

In fact, if  $x_2'(t_0) > 0$ , then there is a constant  $\sigma > 0$  such that  $x_2'(t) > 0$  for  $t \in [t_0, t_0 + \sigma]$ , and then  $x_2(t) > x_2(t_0) = 0$  for  $t \in [t_0, t_0 + \sigma]$ . Hence,  $x_1'(t) = \lambda \varphi_q(x_2(t)) > 0$  for  $t \in [t_0, t_0 + \sigma]$ , i.e.,  $x_1(t) > x_1(t_0)$ ,  $t \in [t_0, t_0 + \sigma]$ , which contradicts the assumption of  $x_1(t_0) = \max_{t \in R} x_1(t)$ . This proves (3.3). From the second equation of (3.2), we have  $-\lambda g(x_1(t_0 - \tau(t_0))) - \lambda f(0) + \lambda e(t_0) \leq 0$ , i.e.,

$$g(x_1(t_0 - \tau(t_0))) \geq -|e|_0 - |f(0)|,$$

which together with assumption  $[H_1]$  implies

$$x_1(t_0 - \tau(t_0)) \leq D. \quad (3.4)$$

Similarly, if  $t_1$  is the minimum point of  $x_1(t)$  on  $R$ , then

$$x_1(t_1 - \tau(t_1)) \geq -D. \quad (3.5)$$

From (3.4) and (3.5), it is easy to prove that there is a constant  $\xi \in R$  such that

$$|x(\xi)| \leq D. \quad (3.6)$$

In fact, from (3.4) we see  $x_1(t_0 - \tau(t_0)) \in [-D, D]$ , or  $x_1(t_0 - \tau(t_0)) < -D$ .

- (1) If  $x_1(t_0 - \tau(t_0)) \in [-D, D]$ , we set  $\xi = t_0 - \tau(t_0)$ , and then  $|x_1(\xi)| \leq D$ .
- (2) If  $x_1(t_0 - \tau(t_0)) < -D$ , from (3.5) and the fact that the  $x_1(t)$  is continuous on  $R$ , there is a point  $\xi$  between  $t_0 - \tau(t_0)$  and  $t_1 - \tau(t_1)$  such that  $|x_1(\xi)| \leq D$ .

This proves (3.6). So

$$|x_1|_0 = \max_{t \in [0, T]} |x_1(t)| = \max_{t \in [\xi, \xi + T]} |x_1(t)| \leq |x_1(\xi)| + \int_{\xi}^{\xi+T} |x_1'(s)| ds \leq D + \int_0^T |x_1'(s)| ds. \quad (3.7)$$

On the other hand, by substituting  $x_2(t) = \varphi_p(\frac{1}{\lambda} x_1'(t))$  into the second equation of (3.2), we get

$$\left[ \varphi_p\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} x_1'(t)\right) \right]' + \lambda f\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} x_1'(t)\right) + \lambda g(x_1(t - \tau(t))) = \lambda e(t). \quad (3.8)$$

Multiplying both sides of Eq. (3.8) by  $x_1(t)$  and integrating them on the interval  $[0, T]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \left[ \varphi_p\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} x_1'(t)\right) \right]' x_1(t) dt + \lambda \int_0^T g(x_1(t - \tau(t))) x_1(t) dt + \lambda \int_0^T f\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} x_1'(t)\right) x_1(t) dt \\ & = \lambda \int_0^T x_1(t) e(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\frac{1}{\lambda^{p-1}} \int_0^T |x_1'(t)|^p dt + \lambda \int_0^T g(x_1(t - \tau(t))) x_1(t - \tau(t)) dt \\
 & + \lambda \int_0^T g(x_1(t - \tau(t))) [x_1(t) - x_1(t - \tau(t))] dt + \lambda \int_0^T f\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} x_1'(t)\right) x_1(t) dt \\
 & = \lambda \int_0^T x_1(t) e(t) dt,
 \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^T |x_1'(t)|^p dt & = \lambda^p \int_0^T g(x_1(t - \tau(t))) x_1(t - \tau(t)) dt \\
 & + \lambda^p \int_0^T g(x_1(t - \tau(t))) [x_1(t) - x_1(t - \tau(t))] dt \\
 & + \lambda^p \int_0^T f\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} x_1'(t)\right) x_1(t) dt - \lambda^p \int_0^T x_1(t) e(t) dt.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

From assumption  $(2r + \alpha)\delta^{(p-1)/p}T^{1/p} + \alpha T < 1$ , we see that there is a constant  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ , such that  $[2(r + \varepsilon_0) + \alpha]\delta^{(p-1)/p}T^{1/p} + \alpha T < 1$ . For such a constant  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ , it follows from assumption  $[H_2]$  that there is a constant  $\rho > D$  such that

$$|g(u)| < (r + \varepsilon_0)|u|^{p-1}, \quad \text{for } u \in R \text{ with } u < -\rho. \tag{3.10}$$

From assumption  $[H_1]$ , we see

$$ug(u) < 0, \quad \text{for } u \in R \text{ with } |u| > \rho. \tag{3.11}$$

Let  $g_\rho = \max_{|u| \leq \rho} |g(u)|$ ,  $E_1 = \{t \in [0, T]: x_1(t - \tau(t)) < -\rho\}$ ,  $E_2 = \{t \in [0, T]: |x_1(t - \tau(t))| \leq \rho\}$  and  $E_3 = \{t \in [0, T]: x_1(t - \tau(t)) > \rho\}$ . Then  $E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3 = [0, T]$ . It follows from (3.9)–(3.11) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^T |x_1'(t)|^p dt \\
 & = \lambda^p \left[ \int_{E_1} + \int_{E_2} + \int_{E_3} \right] g(x_1(t - \tau(t))) x_1(t - \tau(t)) dt \\
 & + \lambda^p \int_0^T g(x_1(t - \tau(t))) [x_1(t) - x_1(t - \tau(t))] dt
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \lambda^p \int_0^T f\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}x_1'(t)\right)x_1(t) dt - \lambda^p \int_0^T x_1(t)e(t) dt \\
& \leq \lambda^p \int_{E_2} g(x_1(t - \tau(t)))x_1(t - \tau(t)) dt \\
& \quad + \lambda^p \int_0^T g(x_1(t - \tau(t)))[x_1(t) - x_1(t - \tau(t))] dt \\
& \quad + \lambda^p \int_0^T f\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}x_1'(t)\right)x_1(t) dt - \lambda^p \int_0^T x_1(t)e(t) dt \\
& \leq T\rho g_\rho + \int_0^T |g(x_1(t - \tau(t)))| dt \max_{t \in [0, T]} |x_1(t) - x_1(t - \tau(t))| \\
& \quad + \lambda^p |x_1|_0 \int_0^T \left|f\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}x_1'(t)\right)\right| dt + |x_1|_0 \int_0^T |e(t)| dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Let  $\Delta_1 = \{t \in [0, T]: |\frac{1}{\lambda}x_1'(t)| \leq D\}$ ,  $\Delta_2 = \{t \in [0, T]: |\frac{1}{\lambda}x_1'(t)| > D\}$ , and integrating the two sides of (3.8) on  $[0, T]$ , we get

$$\int_0^T g(x_1(t - \tau(t))) dt = - \int_0^T f\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}x_1'(t)\right) dt,$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{E_3} |g(x_1(t - \tau(t)))| dt &= \left| \int_{E_3} g(x_1(t - \tau(t))) dt \right| \\
&\leq \left( \int_{E_2} + \int_{E_1} \right) |g(x_1(t - \tau(t)))| dt + \left( \int_{\Delta_1} + \int_{\Delta_2} \right) \left| f\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}x_1'(t)\right) \right| dt.
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T |g(x_1(t - \tau(t)))| dt &= \left( \int_{E_1} + \int_{E_2} + \int_{E_3} \right) |g(x_1(t - \tau(t)))| dt \\
&\leq 2 \left( \int_{E_1} + \int_{E_2} \right) |g(x_1(t - \tau(t)))| dt + \left( \int_{\Delta_1} + \int_{\Delta_2} \right) \left| f\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}x_1'(t)\right) \right| dt \\
&\leq 2Tg_\rho + 2(r + \varepsilon)|x_1|_0^{p-1} + f_\rho T + \frac{\alpha}{\lambda^{p-1}} \int_0^T |x_1'(t)|^{p-1} dt + \beta T,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

where  $f_\rho = \max_{|u| \leq \rho} |f(u)|$ .

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned}
 \max_{t \in [0, T]} |x_1(t) - x_1(t - \tau(t))| &= \max_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t x'_1(s) ds \right| \leq \max_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t |x'_1(s)| ds \\
 &\leq \max_{t \in [0, T]} |\tau(t)|^{(p-1)/p} \max_{t \in [0, T]} \left( \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{1/p} \\
 &\leq \delta^{(p-1)/p} \max_{t \in [0, T]} \left( \int_{t-T}^t |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{1/p} \\
 &= \delta^{(p-1)/p} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{1/p}. \tag{3.14}
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.13) and (3.14) into (3.12), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_0^T |x'_1(t)|^p dt \\
 &\leq T\rho g_\rho + \lambda^p \left[ 2Tg_\rho + 2(r + \varepsilon_0)|x_1|_0^{p-1} + f_\rho T + \frac{\alpha}{\lambda^{p-1}} \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^{p-1} ds + \beta T \right] \\
 &\quad \times \delta^{(p-1)/p} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{1/p} + \lambda^p |x_1|_0 \left[ \frac{\alpha}{\lambda^{p-1}} \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^{p-1} ds + \beta T \right] \\
 &\quad + |x_1|_0 \int_0^T |e(s)| ds \\
 &\leq T\rho g_\rho + (2Tg_\rho + f_\rho T + \beta T) \delta^{(p-1)/p} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{1/p} \\
 &\quad + 2(r + \varepsilon_0)|x_1|_0^{p-1} \delta^{(p-1)/p} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{1/p} + \alpha \delta^{(p-1)/p} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{1/p} \\
 &\quad \times T^{1/p} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{(p-1)/p} + \alpha |x_1|_0 \int_0^T |x'(s)|^{p-1} ds \\
 &\quad + \left( \beta T + \int_0^T |e(s)| ds \right) |x_1|_0. \tag{3.15}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since



$$\begin{aligned}
& |x_1|_0 \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^{p-1} ds \\
& \leq \left( D + \int_0^T |x'_1(t)| dt \right) \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^{p-1} ds \\
& \leq D \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^{p-1} ds + \int_0^T |x'_1(t)| dt \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^{p-1} ds \\
& \leq DT^{1/p} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{(p-1)/p} \\
& \quad + T^{(p-1)/p} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{1/p} \cdot T^{1/p} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{(p-1)/p} \\
& = DT^{1/p} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{(p-1)/p} + T \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds,
\end{aligned}$$

it follows from (3.15) and (3.7) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T |x'_1(t)|^p dt \\
& \leq T\rho g_\rho + (2Tg_\rho + f_\rho T + \beta T)\delta^{(p-1)/p} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{1/p} \\
& \quad + 2(r + \varepsilon_0)|x_1|_0^{p-1} \delta^{(p-1)/p} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{1/p} \\
& \quad + [T\alpha + \alpha\delta^{(p-1)/p} T^{1/p}] \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \\
& \quad + D\alpha T^{1/p} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{(p-1)/p} + \left( \beta T + \int_0^T |e(s)| ds \right) |x_1|_0 \\
& \leq T\rho g_\rho + (2Tg_\rho + f_\rho T + \beta T)\delta^{(p-1)/p} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{1/p} \\
& \quad + 2(r + \varepsilon_0)\delta^{(p-1)/p} |x_1|_0^{p-1} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{1/p}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + [T\alpha + \alpha\delta^{(p-1)/p}T^{1/p}] \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds + D\alpha T^{1/p} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{(p-1)/p} \\
& + \left[ \beta T + \int_0^T |e(s)| ds \right] T^{(p-1)/p} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{1/p} \\
& + \left( \beta T + \int_0^T |e(s)| ds \right) D.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

We will prove next that there must be a constant  $M_1 > 0$  independent of  $\lambda$  such that

$$\int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \leq M_1. \tag{3.17}$$

**Case 1.** If  $1 < p \leq 2$ , i.e.,  $0 < p - 1 \leq 1$ , then from (3.7)

$$|x_1|_0^{p-1} \leq d + \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)| ds \right)^{p-1},$$

where  $d = D^{p-1}$ . By using Hölder's inequality, we get

$$|x_1|_0^{p-1} \leq d + T^{1/p} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{(p-1)/p}. \tag{3.18}$$

Substituting (3.18) into (3.16), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T |x'_1(t)|^p dt \\
& \leq T\rho g_\rho + [2Tg_\rho + f_\rho T + \beta T + 2(r + \varepsilon_0)d] \delta^{(p-1)/p} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{1/p} \\
& + [2(r + \varepsilon_0)T^{1/p} \delta^{(p-1)/p} + \alpha T + \alpha\delta^{(p-1)/p}T^{1/p}] \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \\
& + D\alpha T^{1/p} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{(p-1)/p} \\
& + \left[ \beta T + \int_0^T |e(s)| ds \right] T^{(p-1)/p} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{1/p} + \left( \beta T + \int_0^T |e(s)| ds \right) D.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from  $[2(r + \varepsilon_0) + \alpha]\delta^{(p-1)/p}T^{1/p} + \alpha T < 1$ ,  $(p - 1)/p < 1$  and  $1/p < 1$  that (3.17) holds.

**Case 2.** If  $p \in (2, +\infty)$ , then  $p - 1 > 1$ . By classical elementary inequalities, we see that there is a constant  $h(p) > 0$  which is dependent on  $p$  only, such that

$$(1+x)^p < 1 + (1+p)x, \quad \forall x \in (0, h(p)]. \quad (3.19)$$

Since  $e(t)$  is not a constant, it is obvious that  $x_1(t)$  is not a constant, i.e.,  $\int_0^T |x'_1(s)| ds > 0$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} & |x_1|_0^{p-1} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq \left( D + \int_0^T |x'_1(s)| ds \right)^{p-1} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{1/p} \\ & = \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)| ds \right)^{p-1} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{1/p} \left( 1 + \frac{D}{\int_0^T |x'_1(s)| ds} \right)^{p-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

Without loss of generality, we suppose  $\int_0^T |x'_1(s)| ds > D/h$ , then  $\frac{D}{\int_0^T |x'_1(s)| ds} < h$ . By (3.19) we see

$$\left( 1 + \frac{D}{\int_0^T |x'_1(s)| ds} \right)^{p-1} < 1 + \frac{pD}{\int_0^T |x'_1(s)| ds},$$

and then by (3.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |x_1|_0^{p-1} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)| ds \right)^{p-1} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{1/p} \\ & \quad + pD \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)| ds \right)^{p-2} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq T^{1/p} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{(p-1)/p} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{1/p} \\ & \quad + pD \left[ T^{(p-1)/p} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{1/p} \right]^{p-2} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{1/p} \\ & = T^{1/p} \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds + pDT^{(p-1)(p-2)/p} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{(p-1)/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above formula into (3.16), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T |x'_1(t)|^p dt \\
& \leq T\rho g_\rho + (2Tg_\rho + f_\rho T + \beta T)\delta^{(p-1)/p} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{1/p} \\
& \quad + [2(r + \varepsilon_0)T^{1/p}\delta^{(p-1)/p} + \alpha T + \alpha\delta^{(p-1)/p}T^{1/p}] \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \\
& \quad + 2pD(r + \varepsilon_0)\delta^{(p-1)/p}T^{(p-1)(p-2)/p} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{(p-1)/p} \\
& \quad + D\alpha T^{1/p} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{(p-1)/p} \\
& \quad + \left[ \beta T + \int_0^T |e(s)| ds \right] T^{(p-1)/p} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^p ds \right)^{1/p} + \left( \beta T + \int_0^T |e(s)| ds \right) D.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Since  $2(r + \varepsilon_0)T^{1/p}\delta^{(p-1)/p} + \alpha T + \alpha\delta^{(p-1)/p}T^{1/p} < 1$ ,  $1/p < 1$  and  $(p-1)/p < 1$ , it follows from (3.21) that (3.17) is also satisfied. This proves (3.17). Hence

$$|x_1|_0 \leq D + \int_0^T |x'_1(s)| ds < D + T^{(p-1)/p}(M_1)^{1/p} := M_0. \tag{3.22}$$

Again from the first equation of (3.2), we have

$$\int_0^T |x_2(s)|^{q-2} x_2(s) ds = 0,$$

which implies that there is a constant  $t_2 \in [0, T]$  such that  $x_2(t_2) = 0$ . So

$$|x_2|_0 \leq \int_0^T |x'_2(s)| ds \leq \left( \int_0^T |x'_2(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} T^{1/2}. \tag{3.23}$$

Multiplying both sides of the second equation of (3.2) by  $x'_2(t)$  and integrating them on the interval  $[0, T]$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T |x'_2(s)|^2 ds \\
& = -\lambda \int_0^T f(\varphi_q(x_2(t)))x'_2(t) dt - \lambda \int_0^T g(x_1(t - \tau(t)))x'_2(t) dt + \lambda \int_0^T e(t)x'_2(t) dt
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\lambda \int_0^T g(x_1(t - \tau(t)))x_2'(t) dt + \lambda \int_0^T e(t)x_2'(t) dt \\
&\leq \int_0^T |g(x_1(t - \tau(t)))x_2'(t)| dt + \int_0^T |e(t)x_2'(t)| dt \\
&\leq [g_{M_0} + |e|_0] T^{1/2} \left( \int_0^T |x_2'(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $g_{M_0} = \max_{|u| \leq M_0} |g(u)|$ . So

$$\left( \int_0^T |x_2'(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq [g_{M_0} + |e|_0] T^{1/2},$$

and then by using (3.23) we get

$$|x_2|_0 \leq [g_{M_0} + |e|_0] T := M_1.$$

Let  $\Omega_2 = \{x: x \in \text{Ker } L, QN x = 0\}$ . If  $x \in \Omega_2$ , then  $x \in R^2$  is a constant vector with

$$\begin{cases} |x_2|^{q-2} x_2 = 0, \\ f(|x_2|^{q-2} x_2) + g(x_1) = \bar{e}. \end{cases}$$

So  $x_2 = 0$  and by assumption  $[H_1]$ , we see  $|x_1| \leq D$ , which implies  $\Omega_2 \subset \Omega_1$ .

Now, if we set  $\Omega = \{x: x = (x_1, x_2)^\top \in X, |x_1|_0 < M_0 + 1, |x_2|_0 < M_1 + 1\}$ , then  $\Omega \supset \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$ . So conditions (1) and (2) of Lemma 2.1 are satisfied. The remainder is to verify condition (3) of Lemma 2.1. In order to do it, let

$$J: \text{Im } Q \rightarrow \text{Ker } L, \quad J(x_1, x_2) = (x_1, x_2),$$

$\Delta_\varepsilon = \{x: x = (x_1, x_2)^\top \in R^2: |x_1| < M_0, |x_2| < \varepsilon\}$ . It is easy to see that for arbitrary small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , equation  $QN(x) = (0, 0)^\top$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_q(x_2) = 0, \\ -f(\varphi_q(x_2)) - g(x_1) + \bar{e} = 0, \end{cases}$$

has no solution in  $\overline{(\Omega \cap \text{Ker } L)} \setminus \Delta_\varepsilon$ . So

$$\deg\{JQN, \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, 0\} = \deg\{JQN, \Delta_\varepsilon, 0\}.$$

Let

$$QN_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -f(0) - g(x_1) + \bar{e} \end{pmatrix}.$$

If  $x \in \partial \Delta_\varepsilon$ ,

$$\|JQN(x) - JQN_0(x)\| \leq \max_{|x_2| \leq \varepsilon} \{|f(\varphi_q(x_2)) - f(0)| + |\varphi_q(x_2)|\},$$

which implies  $\|JQN(x) - JQN_0(x)\| \rightarrow 0$ , as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . So if  $\varepsilon > 0$  is sufficiently small,

$$\deg\{JQN, \Delta_\varepsilon, 0\} = \deg\{JQN_0, \Delta_\varepsilon, 0\}.$$

In view of  $\dim QN_0 = 1$ , it follows that

$$\deg\{JQN_0, \Delta_\varepsilon, 0\} = \deg\{JQN_0, \Delta_0, 0\},$$

where  $\Delta_0 = \{x: x \in R, |x| < M_0\} \subset R$ . By using assumption  $[H_1]$ , we see  $\deg\{JQN_0, \Delta_0, 0\} \neq 0$ , i.e.,

$$\deg\{JQN, \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, 0\} = \deg\{JQN_0, \Delta_0, 0\} \neq 0.$$

Therefore, by using Lemma 2.1, we see that equation

$$Lx = Nx$$

has a solution  $x^*(t) = (x_1^*(t), x_2^*(t))^T$  on  $\overline{\Omega}$ , i.e., Eq. (1.6) has a  $T$ -periodic solution  $x_1^*(t)$  with  $|x_1^*|_0 \leq M_0 + 1$ . Clearly  $x_1^*(t)$  is not a constant. Otherwise, by substituting  $x_1^*(t) \equiv C$  (constant) into Eq. (1.6), we have  $f(0) + g(C) = e(t)$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $e(t)$  is not a constant.  $\square$

**Remark 3.1.** If we replace assumption  $[H_2]$  by  $[H'_2]$ :  $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|g(x)|}{|x|^{p-1}} \leq r \in [0, +\infty)$ , then the result of Theorem 3.1 is also satisfied. The only difference of the proof is that formula (3.10) should be modified by

$$|g(u)| < (r + \varepsilon_0)|u|^{p-1}, \quad \text{for } u \in R \text{ with } u > \rho,$$

and the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \int_{E_1} + \int_{E_2} + \int_{E_3} \right) |g(x_1(t - \tau(t)))| dt \\ & \leq 2 \left( \int_{E_1} + \int_{E_2} \right) |g(x_1(t - \tau(t)))| dt + \left( \int_{\Delta_1} + \int_{\Delta_2} \right) \left| f\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} x_1'(t)\right) \right| dt \end{aligned}$$

in (3.13) should be replaced by

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \int_{E_1} + \int_{E_2} + \int_{E_3} \right) |g(x_1(t - \tau(t)))| dt \\ & \leq 2 \left( \int_{E_3} + \int_{E_2} \right) |g(x_1(t - \tau(t)))| dt + \left( \int_{\Delta_1} + \int_{\Delta_2} \right) \left| f\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} x_1'(t)\right) \right| dt. \end{aligned}$$

Example 3.1 considers the following equation:

$$x''(t) + \frac{1}{4\pi} x'(t) \sin x'(t) + g(x(t - \theta |\sin t|)) = \cos t, \quad (3.24)$$

where

$$g(u) = \begin{cases} -ue^u, & u \geq 0; \\ \frac{1}{2}u, & u < 0; \end{cases}$$

$\theta \in (0, 1)$  is a parameter. Corresponding to Eq. (1.6), we have  $p = 2$ ,  $T = 2\pi$ ,  $\delta = \theta$ . Since

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{|g(x)|}{|x|^{p-1}} = \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{u/2}{u} = 1/2$$

and from Eq. (3.24), we can choose  $r = 1/2$ ,  $\alpha = \frac{1}{4\pi}$  and  $D = 2$  such that assumptions  $[H_1]$ – $[H_3]$  are satisfied. So if  $\theta \in (0, \frac{2\pi}{(4\pi+1)^2})$ , then

$$(2r + \alpha)\delta^{(p-1)/p}T^{1/p} + \alpha T < 1.$$

Therefore, by using Theorem 3.1, Eq. (3.24) has at least one non-constant  $2\pi$ -periodic solution for all  $\theta \in (0, 2\pi(4\pi + 1)^{-2})$ .

**Remark 3.2.** Even if  $p = 2$ , the result of Example 3.1 cannot be obtained by [1–3]. The reason for this is that

$$g(u) = \begin{cases} -ue^u, & u \geq 0; \\ \frac{1}{2}u, & u < 0; \end{cases}$$

does not satisfy global linear growth condition (1.5), and  $f(u) = \frac{1}{4\pi}u \sin u$  does not satisfy conditions (1.2)–(1.4) either.

The aim of the following theorem is to remove condition  $[H_3]$  imposed on  $f(x)$  in Theorem 3.1.

**Theorem 3.2.** Suppose  $p \geq 2$ ,  $\delta := \max_{t \in [0, T]} \tau(t) \in [0, T]$ ,  $e(t)$  is not a constant, and assumptions  $[H_1]$  and  $[H_4]$  hold. Then Eq. (1.6) has at least one non-constant  $T$ -periodic solution, if one of the following conditions holds:

$$[A_1] \quad p = 2 \text{ and } \frac{l\delta T}{2\pi} < 1.$$

$$[A_2] \quad p > 2.$$

**Proof.** Let  $x(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t))^T$  be an arbitrary  $T$ -periodic solution to Eqs. (3.2). By assumption  $[H_1]$  and from the proof of Theorem 3.1, one can find

$$|x_1|_0 \leq D + \int_0^T |x'_1(s)| ds. \quad (3.25)$$

If set the substitution  $y(t) = \varphi_p(\frac{1}{\lambda}x'_1(t))$ , then

$$\int_0^T \left( \varphi_p \left( \frac{1}{\lambda}x'_1(t) \right) \right)' f \left( \frac{1}{\lambda}x'_1(t) \right) dt = \int_0^T f(\varphi_q(y(t)))y'(t) dt = 0.$$

So multiplying both sides of Eq. (3.8) by  $(\varphi_p(\frac{1}{\lambda}x'_1(t)))'$  and integrating them on the interval  $[0, T]$ , we get

$$\int_0^T \left[ \left( \varphi_p \left( \frac{1}{\lambda}x'_1(t) \right) \right)' \right]^2 dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\lambda \int_0^T \left( \varphi_p \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} x_1'(t) \right) \right)' g(x_1(t - \tau(t))) dt + \lambda \int_0^T \left( \varphi_p \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} x_1'(t) \right) \right)' e(t) dt \\
&= -\lambda \int_0^T \left( \varphi_p \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} x_1'(t) \right) \right)' g(x_1(t)) dt \\
&\quad - \lambda \int_0^T \left( \varphi_p \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} x_1'(t) \right) \right)' [g(x_1(t - \tau(t))) - g(x_1(t))] dt \\
&\quad + \lambda \int_0^T \left( \varphi_p \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} x_1'(t) \right) \right)' e(t) dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

From [H<sub>4</sub>], we see

$$-\lambda \int_0^T \left( \varphi_p \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} x_1'(t) \right) \right)' g(x_1(t)) dt = \lambda^p \int_0^T |x_1'(t)|^{p-2} (x_1'(t))^2 g'(x_1(t)) dt \leq 0 \tag{3.27}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T \left( \varphi_p \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} x_1'(t) \right) \right)' [g(x_1(t - \tau(t))) - g(x_1(t))] dt \\
&\leq \int_0^T \left| \left( \varphi_p \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} x_1'(t) \right) \right)' \right| |g(x_1(t - \tau(t))) - g(x_1(t))| dt \\
&\leq l \int_0^T \left| \left( \varphi_p \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} x_1'(t) \right) \right)' \right| |x_1(t) - x_1(t - \tau(t))| dt \\
&\leq l \left( \int_0^T \left| \left( \varphi_p \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} x_1'(t) \right) \right)' \right|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_0^T |x_1(t) - x_1(t - \tau(t))|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T \left( \varphi_p \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} x_1'(t) \right) \right)' [g(x_1(t - \tau(t))) - g(x_1(t))] dt \\
&\leq \delta l \left( \int_0^T \left| \left( \varphi_p \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} x_1'(t) \right) \right)' \right|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_0^T |x_1'(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Moreover,

$$\int_0^T \left( \varphi_p \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} x_1'(t) \right) \right)' e(t) dt \leq \left( \int_0^T \left| \left( \varphi_p \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} x_1'(t) \right) \right)' \right|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_0^T |e(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}. \tag{3.29}$$



Substituting (3.27)–(3.29) into (3.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \left[ \left( \varphi_p \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} x'_1(t) \right) \right)' \right]^2 dt &\leq \delta l \left( \int_0^T \left| \left( \varphi_p \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} x'_1(t) \right) \right)' \right|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + \left( \int_0^T \left| \left( \varphi_p \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} x'_1(t) \right) \right)' \right|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_0^T |e(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\left( \int_0^T \left| \left( \varphi_p \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} x'_1(t) \right) \right)' \right|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq \delta l \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} + \left( \int_0^T |e(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}. \quad (3.30)$$

Considering  $(\varphi_p(\frac{1}{\lambda} x'_1(t)))' = \frac{1}{\lambda^{p-1}} (\varphi_p(x'_1(t)))'$ , it follows from (3.30) that

$$\left( \int_0^T |(\varphi_p(x'_1(t)))'|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq \delta l \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} + \left( \int_0^T |e(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}. \quad (3.31)$$

**Case 1.** If condition  $[A_1]$  holds, i.e.,  $p = 2$  and  $\frac{\delta l T}{2\pi} < 1$ , then by (3.31)

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_0^T |x''_1(s)|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} &= \left( \int_0^T |(\varphi_p(x'_1(t)))'|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \delta l \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} + \left( \int_0^T |e(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Wirtinger's inequality  $\int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^2 ds \leq \frac{T^2}{4\pi^2} \int_0^T |x''_1(s)|^2 ds$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} &\leq \frac{T}{2\pi} \left( \int_0^T |x''_1(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{\delta l T}{2\pi} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} + \frac{T}{2\pi} \left( \int_0^T |e(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{T}{2\pi} \left[ 1 - \frac{\delta l T}{2\pi} \right]^{-1} \left( \int_0^T |e(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} := M_2.$$

Thus by (3.25)

$$|x_1|_0 \leq D + T^{1/2} \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(s)|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \leq D + T^{1/2} M_2 := M_3.$$

**Case 2.** Suppose condition  $[A_2]$  holds, i.e.,  $p > 2$ . Since  $\int_0^T x'_1(s) ds = 0$ , there must be a point  $\xi \in [0, T]$  such that  $x'_1(\xi) = 0$ . So  $\varphi_p(x'_1(\xi)) = 0$ , and then

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi_p(x'_1)|_0 &= \max_{t \in [0, T]} |\varphi_p(x'_1(t))| = \max_{t \in [\xi, \xi+T]} |\varphi_p(x'_1(t))| \\ &= \max_{t \in [\xi, \xi+T]} \left| \int_{\xi}^t (\varphi_p(x'_1(s)))' ds \right| \leq \int_{\xi}^{\xi+T} |(\varphi_p(x'_1(s)))'| ds \\ &= \int_0^T |(\varphi_p(x'_1(s)))'| ds \leq T^{1/2} \left( \int_0^T |(\varphi_p(x'_1(s)))'|^2 ds \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

which together with (3.31) implies

$$\begin{aligned} |x'_1|_0^{p-1} &= |\varphi_p(x'_1)|_0 \\ &\leq T^{1/2} \delta l \left( \int_0^T |x'_1(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} + T^{1/2} \left( \int_0^T |e(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq T \delta l |x'_1|_0 + T^{1/2} \left( \int_0^T |e(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $p - 1 > 1$ , there must be a constant  $R_0 > 0$  such that

$$|x'_1|_0^{p-1} \leq R_0,$$

which together with (3.25) implies

$$|x_1|_0 \leq D + T R_0^{1/(p-1)} := R_1.$$

Let  $\overline{M} = \max\{R_1, M_3\}$ . Then in either Case 1 or Case 2, we always have

$$|x_1|_0 \leq \overline{M}.$$

The rest of the proof of the theorem is identical to that of Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Gen-Qiang Wang, A priori bounds for periodic solutions of a delay Rayleigh equation, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 12 (1999) 41–44.
- [2] Shiping Lu, Weigao Ge, Zuxiou Zheng, Periodic solutions for a kind of Rayleigh equation with a deviating argument, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 17 (2004) 443–449.
- [3] Shiping Lu, Weigao Ge, Some new results on the existence of periodic solutions to a kind of Rayleigh equation with a deviating argument, *Nonlinear Anal.* 56 (2004) 501–514.
- [4] W.S. Cheung, J.L. Ren, Periodic solutions for  $p$ -Laplacian Lienard equation with a deviating argument, *Nonlinear Anal.* 59 (1–2) (2004) 107–120.
- [5] R.E. Gaines, J.L. Mawhin, *Coincidence Degree and Nonlinear Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [6] Shiping Lu, Weigao Ge, Periodic solutions for a kind of Liénard equation with a deviating argument, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 289 (2004) 231–243.